

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,268	04/28/2005	Matti Lipsanen	886A.0008.U1(US)	7347
29683 HARRINGTO	7590 10/06/2008 N & SMITH, PC		EXAMINER	
4 RESEARCH DRIVE, Suite 202		•	LAZARO, DAVID R	
SHELTON, C	1 06484-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Dro Interview Communication					
Pre-Interview Communication (For use in the First Action Interview Pilot Program)	10/533,268		LIPSANEN, MATTI		
(Examiner	Art Unit	Page 1 of 2		
	DAVID LAZARO	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT			(30) DATS,		
This time period for reply is NOT extendable under under 37 CFR 1.136(a)(1)(i).	37 CFR 1.136(a). This cor	nmunication constitu	ıtes notice		
To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant mu	st, within this time period fo	or reply, file:			
(1) A letter requesting not to have a first-action into	erview, or				
(2) A completed Applicant Initiated Interview Requ	est Form (PTOL-413A) acc	companied by a propos	sed amendment		
or arguments.					
Inventor participation in the Pre-First Action Interview is	encouraged if it would exp	pedite resolution of the	application.		
Disposition of Claims					
3)⊠ Claim(s) <u>54-68</u> is/are pending in the application.					
3a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
4)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>54-68</u> is/are rejected.					
6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
8) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner				
9)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <i>21 October 2005</i> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form	PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
11)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			nal Stage		
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not rec	eived.			
Contact Information					
Examiner's Telephone Number: (571)272-3986					
Examiner's Typical Work Schedule: 8:30-5:00 N	1-F				
Supervisor's Name: Saleh Najjar					
Supervisor's Telephone Number: 571-272-4006					
Attachment(s)	A) Intonvious	Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/28/05, 07/10/06.	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application			

Applicant(s) **Application No.** 10533268 LIPSANEN, MATTI Pre-Interview Communication (For use in the First Action Interview Pilot Program) Examiner **Art Unit** Page 2 of DAVID LAZARO 2155 Notification of Rejection(s) and/or Objection(s) Reference(s) Rejection Claim(s) **Brief Explanation of Rejection** (if applicable) **Statutory Basis** A teaches a user request being sourced by an agent and delivered according to user 54, 60-63. A, B 103(a) preferences (Col. 5 lines 30-55 and Col. 6 line 31 - Col. 7 line 26). A does not explicitly 67,68 disclose selecting a network to use based on user preferences. (see continuation) A and B do not teach the use of logs and acknowledgments. C teaches keeping a log of 55-58, 64, 2 A, B, C 103(a) delivered content and acknowledgements (Fig. 3b and col. 3 lines 4-25). As it is desirable to 65, 66 know if a user received delivered content (see continuation) A and B do not teach notifying over a duplex prior to delivery over a simplex network. D 3 59 A, B, D 103(a) teaches the use of a duplex and simplex network for deliverying content to a user. This includes notifying over a duplex network prior to delivery of content (see cont) **Expanded Discussion/Commentary** IDS dated 07/10/06: Foreign Patent not considered as no consise explanation was given. Particularly it is not clear the degree of relevance found by the foreign office. B teaches a user may have multiple networks available for use and that user preferences can be used to select a network for use (Col. 4 lines 46-58). As it is advantageous to use user preferences in selecting a network (Col. 2 lines 40-43 of B), it would have been obvious to use the user preferences of A to select a network to use as taugh in B.

46-58). As it is advantageous to use user preferences in selecting a network (Col. 2 lines 40-43 of B), it would have been obvious to use the user preferences of A to select a network to use as taugh in B.

it would have been obvious to include the logging and acknowledging of C in A and B.

over a simiplex network (see abstract and [52]-[53]). It would have been obvious to use the notification tecniques of D in the delivery system of A and B as it is a reliable way of providing delivery information to the user.

DATE:
9/25/2008

/David Lazaro/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2155

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office